

## **UNION COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE (CoC) SUPERNOfO PROJECT PRIORITY LISTING AND RANKING PROCEDURE**

The Union County Continuum of Care Priority Ranking (CPR) Committee serves as the primary body responsible for evaluating projects and establishing the project ranking priorities for the Union County Continuum of Care (UC-CoC) application under the HUD Continuum of Care Program. The CPR Committee functions as an Ad Hoc Subcommittee of the Comprehensive Emergency Assistance System and Continuum of Care (CEAS/CoC) Committee, which oversees system-wide coordination and governance.

The CPR Committee is composed of a network of public and private entities that do not submit project applications and do not receive HUD CoC Program funding. All members must sign a conflict of interest form to ensure impartiality and prevent any direct benefit to committee members. This structure allows the CPR Committee to use objective, performance-based criteria when evaluating projects.

The UC-CoC has utilized a CPR Committee to rank new projects since 1998, and beginning in 2013, the Committee expanded its role to include ranking both new and renewal projects. The CPR Committee's primary goal is to support HUD's national priorities for preventing and ending homelessness in Union County, NJ. To achieve this, the Committee uses performance-based scoring criteria and selection priorities that measure how effectively each project aligns with HUD's policy goals.

The CPR Committee meets year-round to support ongoing CoC NOFO planning and coordination. Additional meetings may be called with three (3) business days' notice, delivered electronically or by mail. Each meeting includes:

- A formal agenda
- Recorded meeting minutes
- A formal agenda
- Recorded meeting minutes
- Attendance sign-in sheets
- Materials distributed to members
- Signed conflict of interest forms

### **All documentation is maintained on file as part of the CoC's governance and compliance records.**

The Priority Ranking Committee is responsible for evaluating all new and renewal project applications submitted under the Union County Continuum of Care (UC-CoC) SUPERNOfO process. The Committee uses objective, performance-based criteria to determine how well each project aligns with HUD priorities and local system needs.

### **The functions and responsibilities of the Committee include:**

- a. Assess Provider Eligibility and Capacity
  - Evaluates whether the applicant/sponsor organization demonstrates the organizational strength, staffing, fiscal controls, and experience needed to operate a HUD-funded project.
- b. Review and Evaluate Project Readiness/Eligibility
  - Determines whether the project is prepared for implementation and meets HUD's eligibility requirements.
- c. Review Project Quality
  - Assesses the overall performance and effectiveness of the project using key HUD performance indicators
- d. Review Project Match and Leveraging Letters
  - Ensures match documentation meets HUD's 25% requirement and that leveraging commitments are valid and compliant.
- e. Review Site Visits and CoC Monitoring Findings
  - Evaluates compliance with HUD and CoC standards based on monitoring reports and corrective actions.
- f. Review CoC Membership Involvement
  - Assesses the agency's participation in CEAS/CoC meetings and system-wide initiatives.
- g. Review HMIS Participation and Data Quality
  - Ensures the project meets HMIS participation requirements and maintains high data quality.
- h. Assess Cost Effectiveness
  - Evaluates whether the project uses funds efficiently relative to outcomes.

- i. Assess Number of Clients Housed and Access to Mainstream Resources
  - Reviews how many clients are served
- j. Assess Number of Households Served by Target Population
  - Evaluates the project's ability to serve priority groups
- k. Assess Provider Organization Experience
  - Reviews the agency's history operating similar programs and managing federal funds.
- l. Assess Spending Patterns (Fast or Slow)
  - Evaluates whether the project spends funds appropriately and avoids underspending or overspending.
- m. Review HUD APR for Performance Results
  - Uses the Annual Performance Report (APR)

### **PROJECT RANKING PROCESS**

Renewal projects approved by the CPR Committee for inclusion in the CoC Project Priority Listing will be evaluated using an **objective scoring tool**. Scoring is based on each project's:

- **Individual performance**
- **Alignment with HUD and CoC policy priorities**
- **Compliance with HUD requirements**
- **HMIS data quality and participation**

Performance and HMIS elements are heavily weighted because they directly influence the **overall CoC score** in the HUD NOFO. The primary data source for renewal scoring is the project's **most recently submitted Annual Performance Report (APR)**.

Scoring tools and point allocations are included in the **Appendix** of the SUPERNOFO package.

### **First-Time Renewals:**

Are projects that have not yet completed their first operating year and therefore do not have a completed **Annual Performance Report (APR)**. Because APR data is required for performance scoring, these projects cannot be evaluated using the standard renewal scoring tool. Instead, the CPR Committee will conduct a qualitative review to ensure the project is demonstrating **satisfactory progress**, including timely startup, compliance with contract requirements, participation in CEAS/CoC activities, HMIS setup and data entry readiness, and alignment with HUD and CoC policy priorities. This process ensures that first-time renewals are on track for full performance scoring in the next competition cycle.

### **New Project Selection:**

New project applicants will be evaluated using objective criteria that measure the quality of the project design, alignment with HUD and CoC priorities, organizational capacity, and readiness for implementation. The CPR Committee reviews each new project to determine how effectively it addresses **local priority needs**, supports **HUD's national strategies to end homelessness**, and contributes to the overall performance of the Union County CoC. New project applicants are strongly encouraged to review the **new project application guide and instructions**, which provide detailed information on best practices, policies, procedures, and HUD requirements. Scoring tools for new projects are included in the **Appendix**.

### **Ranking Order:**

Renewal projects approved for inclusion in the CoC's Project Priority Listing will be ranked according to the following structure. This ranking methodology ensures alignment with HUD priorities, maximizes the CoC's competitive score, and strengthens overall system performance.

#### **1. Renewal Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) Projects:**

Renewal PSH projects are ranked from highest to lowest score, based on the FY2026 UC-CoC Project Priority Listing & Ranking Procedure outlined in this document and the FY2026 UC-CoC Project Score Criteria & Scoresheet.

#### **2. Renewal Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) Projects:**

Renewal RRH projects are ranked from highest to lowest score, based on the FY2026 UC-CoC Project Priority Listing & Ranking Procedure outlined in this document and the FY2026 UC-CoC Project Score Criteria & Scoresheet.

### 3. Supportive Service Only (SSO–Street Outreach/Coordinated Entry) Projects & All New Projects:

Supportive Services Only (SSO-SO and SSO-CE) projects are ranked from highest to lowest score, based on the FY2026 UC-CoC Project Priority Listing & Ranking Procedure outlined in this document and the FY2026 UC-CoC Project Score Criteria & Scoresheet.

#### **Updated Tier 2**

The UC-CoC ranks all renewal and new projects using the the FY2026 UC-CoC Project Priority Listing & Ranking Procedure outlined in this document and the FY2026 UC-CoC Project Score Criteria & Scoresheet; in accordance with HUD's FY2026 grant opportunity.

#### **Tie-Breakers**

Ties within the same project type will be broken using the following criteria, applied in order:

- 1) **Highest percentage of clients exiting to or retaining permanent housing:** This reflects HUD's strongest system performance measure and prioritizes projects that demonstrate the greatest impact on housing stability.
  - o **Permanent housing exits**
- 2) **Highest utilization rate:** Projects serving the greatest number of eligible participants relative to their contracted capacity will be ranked higher.
  - o **Utilization rate**

#### **Adjustments, Reallocation, and Appeals Process**

The CPR Committee may adjust the ranking position of individual projects or reallocate funds when necessary to ensure the CoC's application is as competitive as possible. Adjustments may be made to:

- **Fulfill HUD priorities**
- **Prevent potential losses of funding**
- **Maximize the overall CoC application score**

This authority allows the CPR Committee to strategically position projects—particularly Tier 2 projects—to strengthen system performance and align with **HUD policy priorities**.

#### **Project Rejection and Notification**

If any project is **rejected** by the CPR Committee, the provider organization will receive a **written notification letter** outlining the specific reason(s) for denial. This letter must be issued **no later than 15 days prior** to the submission of the CoC Consolidated Application to HUD. The provider organization has the right to **appeal** the decision.

#### **Voting and Approval Process**

All motions and actions of the CPR Committee require approval by a **majority vote**. Once the CPR Committee finalizes its recommendations:

- 1) The recommendations are presented at the CEAS/CoC Committee meeting for approval.
- 2) After approval, a copy of the Consolidated Application is uploaded to the County's website:  
<https://ucnj.org/dhs/coc>
- 3) The UC-CoC Consolidated Application is then submitted to HUD.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST POLICY**

Members of the **Continuum of Care Priority Ranking (CPR) Committee** are prohibited from participating in discussions or decision-making related to any program for which they:

- Are employed
- Serve as a board member
- Serve as a volunteer
- Have a financial interest

This prohibition applies to both **funding decisions** and **program monitoring activities**. The purpose is to ensure impartiality, maintain transparency, and uphold HUD's expectations for **conflict-free governance** within the CoC ranking process.

In addition, all CPR Committee members undergo a review for potential conflicts of interest. To support this

review, members are required to disclose information about themselves, their spouse, and other family members.

\*\*\*Family members include: children, parents, grandparents/grandchildren, uncles/aunts, siblings, in-laws, significant other, or other members of the immediate household

### **County Role Statement**

The Union County Department of Human Services Continuum of Care Unit (UC-CoC) supports the Continuum of Care Priority Ranking (CPR) Committee by issuing the annual solicitation notice for the CoC application, conducting outreach to all homeless service providers and faith-based organizations within the UC-CoC geographic area, and announcing the funding opportunity at CEAS/CoC and other community meetings. UC-CoC staff provides CPR Committee members with HUD and Independent Monitoring Unit (IMU) findings, reviews HUD Annual Performance Reports (APRs) weekly, and supplies all project applications for evaluation. Staff respond to Committee questions and ensure members have the information necessary to accurately review, rate, and rank all project applications.

### **APPEALS**

It is the policy of Union County that any agency or organization participating in the Continuum of Care funding process shall have the right to appeal decisions of the Continuum of Care Priority Ranking (CPR) Committee when the basis of the appeal concerns **procedural matters**. To ensure a uniform and equitable application of this policy, the following guidelines establish the structure of the appeals process. Any agency or organization may appeal a CPR Committee funding recommendation on **a procedural basis** if:

- a) *The funding was not publicized; or the application requirements or processes were inappropriate for the funds requested; or*
- b) *A conflict of interest charge can be substantiated against any Committee member.*

If any agency/organization chooses to appeal the funding disposition, the agency's Executive Director and/or Chief Operating Officer must:

- i. *Contact the Union County Division of Individual & Family Support Services as of the date specified in the letter of funding disposition in order to formally initiate an appeal. \*\**
- ii. *Organization can present their appeal and submit any documents of evidence or proof of the procedural violation on the day/time of the appeal hearing as set forth by the Appeals Committee.*

*\*\* All appeals must be submitted in writing on or before the date specified in the notification letter to the Union County Division of Individual & Family Support Services via email [ohhcoc@ucnj.org](mailto:ohhcoc@ucnj.org). Program staff will notify the appealing agency of the date, time, and location of the appeal hearing by telephone during the afternoon preceding the scheduled appeal hearing.*

### **Appeals Process**

- A. Agencies applying for funds are advised of the "Appeals Process".
- B. The Continuum of Care Priority Ranking (CPR) Committee makes tentative decisions regarding agency funding.
- C. All agencies submitting funding requests are advised of the tentative allocation recommendations in writing. Agencies not recommended for funding are given a brief explanation of the CPR Committee's decision, and are advised of procedures for appeal.
- D. The Committee will convene a meeting to hear appeal presentation(s) of agencies (up to 15 minutes allowed per agency). The Committee can reject the agency's appeal, which thereby upholds the CPR Committee's original funding recommendations. The Committee can concur with the agency's appeal. In this case, the Committee must return documentation to serve as compelling reasons for the CPR Committee to review its original funding recommendations. In all cases, the final determining authority for all funding recommendations shall be the CPR Committee through the recommendations of the Appeals Committee. The CPR Committee may reconsider the recommendation in light of the Appeals Committee's findings and issue a final written determination.
- E. CEAS/CoC votes on the final funding recommendations. Persons in conflict shall abstain from the vote.

### **AMENDMENTS**

The Project Priority Listing and Ranking Procedure may be amended by the two-thirds (2/3) vote of the voting members of the CEAS/CoC Committee, following an electronic or written notice at least two (2) weeks in advance to all Committee members indicating the proposed amendment or revision.

## FY2026 Continuum of Care (CoC) SuperNOFO Application - Ranking Score Criteria

Maximum points available – 100 base points, 10 bonus points available, 110 points maximum

- **Performance Measures and Project Effectiveness (40 points total)**
  - Housing stability – Measure 7 b.2 (Change in PH exits to permanent housing destinations or retention of permanent housing)
  - Leavers income – Measure 4.6 (Change in total income for adult system leavers)
  - Stayers income – Measure 4.3 (Change in total income for adult system stayers during the reporting period)
  - Stayers earned income – Measure 4.1 (Change in earned income for adult system stayers during the reporting period)
  - Overall increase in income – System Performance Measures 4.1, 4.3, 4.6 (See above for description)
  - Timely submission of annual assessment updates – HMIS / SAGE
  - Utilization rate
    - o By units – last completed APR
    - o By beds – last completed APR
  - Sponsor Capacity
    - o **Agency Performance History** — The agency has effectively implemented the project under review or has successfully operated similar County, State, or Federal programs.
    - o **Timeliness & Compliance** — The agency submits reports on time, meets drawdown requirements, maintains documentation, and resolves monitoring findings quickly.
    - o **Fiscal & Administrative Capacity** — Strong financial systems, clean audits, and the ability to manage federal funds.
    - o **Staffing & Experience** — Qualified staff with experience in homeless services, case management, housing navigation, or supportive services.
    - o **Efficiency & Client Volume** — Number of clients served and cost-effectiveness across other funding streams (County, State, Federal).
- **Project Value**
  - o **Alignment with Local Needs** — The project addresses documented gaps in Union County's PIT/HIC data (DV overflow, youth homelessness, behavioral health needs, slow CE placements).
  - o **Impact on System Performance** — The project improves exits to permanent housing, reduces length of time homeless, increases income, and reduces returns to homelessness.
  - o **Value to Participants** — Provides essential services or housing that directly improves stability, safety, and long-term outcomes.
  - o **Contribution to CE Flow** — Supports Coordinated Entry by increasing throughput, documentation readiness, and placement.
  - o **Community Benefit** — Enhances the overall homeless response system and fills critical service gaps.
- **Serving High Need Populations (25 points total)**
  - **Priority - Chronic Homelessness, Victims of Domestic Violence, and Most Vulnerable populations**
    - o *Chronic Homelessness Status – HMIS data quality Q.26a*

- o *History of Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, Dating Violence, Stalking, or Human Trafficking– HMIS data quality Q.14a*
- o *Most Vulnerable – Number of Conditions at Start with 2 or more disabling conditions, - HMIS data Q.13 a2*
- o Union County places a high emphasis on serving priority populations identified by HUD and local PIT/HIC data. For this reason, points are awarded based on the percentage of households within the project that fall into one or more of the following categories:
  - **8 points:** Awarded to any project that has ***dedicated at least 75% of its beds*** to the chronically homeless, veterans, and/or victims of domestic violence.
  - **6 points:** Awarded to any project that has ***dedicated or prioritized at least 50% of its beds*** to the chronically homeless, veterans, and/or victims of domestic violence.
  - **4 points:** Awarded to any project that has ***dedicated or prioritized at least 35% of its beds*** to the chronically homeless, veterans, and/or victims of domestic violence.
  - **2 points:** Awarded to any project that has ***dedicated or prioritized at least 25% of its beds*** to the chronically homeless, veterans, and/or victims of domestic violence.

**\*Please note that compliance with the NOFO and the Rule includes compliance with all applicable Federal statutes relating to nondiscrimination (also included on your SF-424B/F-424D assurances). See 24 CFR 578.93 for more details of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity requirements for the CoC program.**

- **Project Financial Information (20 total points)**

- **Percent of CoC funding expended (Last Operating Year)**

- o CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year – Grant Agreements
- o CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year – LOCCS

- **Budget Appropriateness**

Due to recent budget constraints and increased education around funding, the local Continuum must ensure that projects request appropriate funding levels and fully utilize awarded funds. Maximum points will be awarded to projects that demonstrate:

A. Reasonable Budget for Households/Persons.

- Budget aligns with the number of households/persons expected to be served.
- Costs are consistent with HUD's eligible cost structure and local norms.

B. Administration Costs ≤ 7%

- Administration funding requested does not exceed 7% of the total of all budget line items.

C. Match Funding ≥ 25%

- Project demonstrates match funding of at least 25% of the total budget requested.
- Match percentage is verified using the APR match section.
- Match includes admin and excludes leasing dollars, consistent with HUD rules.

- **Participation in Local Priorities (15 Points)**

**Union County places a strong emphasis on collaboration, participation in community-wide initiatives, and engagement in system-level activities that support ending homelessness. Projects that actively participate in local priorities — including ending veteran homelessness, chronic homelessness, and supporting survivors of domestic violence — demonstrate commitment to system performance and coordinated community response.**

Full points will be awarded to agencies that consistently participate in all local homelessness initiatives and required CoC activities.

- **PIT Participation (7 Points for this sub-category)**  
Evaluates whether the agency participated in the annual unsheltered Point-in-Time Count, including:
  - Staff participation in street outreach count
  - Participation in magnet events
  - Verbal confirmation of staff involved in the unsheltered count
  - Submission of PIT participation list (if applicable)
- **CEAS/CoC Committee Participation (8 Points for this sub-category)**  
Evaluates attendance and engagement in the Comprehensive Emergency Assistance System (CEAS) and Continuum of Care (CoC) meetings. Requirement:
  - The agency must have attended at least 4 CEAS/CoC meetings in the last operating year.
  - Attendance is verified through meeting minutes.

### **Bonus Points — Up to 10 Total Points**

Bonus points allow the Ranking & Review Committee to recognize exceptional project performance beyond baseline requirements. Points may be **awarded** based on the project's demonstrated outcomes, fiscal responsibility, and service impact during the previous operating year.

Projects may earn bonus points for exceeding match requirements, fully utilizing leasing/rental assistance funds, and serving youth with high data quality.

#### **1. Exceeding Required Match (Up to 5 Points)**

- HUD requires a **minimum 25 % match** (excluding leasing). Projects demonstrating match **above 25 %** may earn bonus points.
- Data Source: **APR – Match Percentage**

This rewards agencies that leverage additional community resources and strengthen overall system capacity.

#### **2. Leasing / Rental Assistance Utilization (Up to 5 Points)**

- Evaluates whether the project fully utilized HUD leasing or rental assistance funds.
- Data Source: **LOCCS – Unspent Percentage of Total Funds**

This ensures projects maximize housing resources and avoid under-utilization.

**FY2026 Continuum of Care (CoC) SuperNOFO Application  
Ranking Score Sheet**

**Renewal Projects**

**Name Project:** 157, 158, 159, 162, 171, 173, 174, 271, 311, 334, 389, 392, 538, 563

**Evaluator's Number:** \_\_\_\_\_

---

---

|                                                          |                          |       |
|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| <b>1. Performance Measures and Project Effectiveness</b> |                          | _____ |
|                                                          | <b>(40 points)</b>       |       |
| <b>2. Serving High Need Populations</b>                  | <b>(25 points)</b>       | _____ |
| <b>3. Project Financial Information</b>                  | <b>(20 points)</b>       | _____ |
| <b>5. Participation in Local Priorities</b>              | <b>(15 points)</b>       | _____ |
| <b>6. Bonus Points</b>                                   | <b>(up to 10 points)</b> | _____ |

**Has the agency demonstrated compliance with the published evaluation criteria?**

- |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Coordinated Entry participation</li><li>• Referral acceptance rate</li><li>• Referral response time</li><li>• Timely Submission:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>o Subcontract</li><li>o Voucher packet (including reporting)</li><li>o Monitoring response</li></ul></li></ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Evaluates responsiveness to phone calls and emails.</li><li>• Housing Clients &amp; Service Fair Participation</li><li>• Number of Clients Served (Not Just CoC)</li><li>• Accuracy of Voucher Forms &amp; IMU Responses</li><li>• Street Outreach Participation</li><li>• Serving People Who Do Not Speak English</li><li>• HMIS Dollars – CAU</li></ul> |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

**Comments:**

---

---

---

**FY2026 Continuum of Care (CoC) SuperNOFO Application  
Ranking Score Sheet**

**NEW Project(s)**

**Name Project:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Evaluator's Number:** \_\_\_\_\_

---

---

|                                                          |                          |       |
|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| <b>1. Performance Measures and Project Effectiveness</b> |                          | _____ |
|                                                          | <b>(40 points)</b>       |       |
| <b>2. Serving High Need Populations</b>                  | <b>(25 points)</b>       | _____ |
| <b>3. Project Financial Information</b>                  | <b>(20 points)</b>       | _____ |
| <b>5. Participation in Local Priorities</b>              | <b>(15 points)</b>       | _____ |
| <b>6. Bonus Points</b>                                   | <b>(up to 10 points)</b> | _____ |

**Comments:**

---

---

---

## **UNION COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE (CoC) SUPERNOFO REALLOCATION PROCESS & PROCEDURE**

### **CoC PRIORITY RANKING COMMITTEE'S ROLE**

The Union County Continuum of Care Priority Ranking (CPR) Committee serves as the primary body responsible for reviewing, evaluating, and recommending the reallocation of Continuum of Care projects within the Union County Continuum of Care (UC-CoC). The CPR Committee operates as an Ad Hoc Subcommittee under the Union County Comprehensive Emergency Assistance System and Continuum of Care (CEAS/CoC) Committee.

The CPR Committee is composed of a network of public and private entities that do not submit project applications and do not receive HUD Continuum of Care Homeless Assistance Program funding. To ensure impartiality and maintain the integrity of the ranking and reallocation process, all CPR Committee members are required to sign conflict of interest forms identifying any potential conflicts that may affect their participation in deliberations or decision-making.

### **REALLOCATION PROCESS**

The UC-CoC considers reallocation through meetings of the Continuum of Care Priority Ranking (CPR) Committee. The reallocation review process includes an examination of HUD policy priorities, a gaps analysis of homeless populations and the housing and services available within the community, utilization rates, quarterly reviews of HMIS data—including PIT and HIC counts and trends over time—annual monitoring results, threshold review data for CoC and ESG-funded programs, and an assessment of the needs of identified subpopulations.

Any decision to reallocate is deliberated and recommended by the CPR Committee. The recommendation is then presented to the full CEAS/CoC Committee for review and approval. This will be consistent with the published scoring criteria, HUD requirements, and adopted reallocation policy.

### **Voluntary Reallocation**

CoC-funded projects may voluntarily request reallocation. A currently funded project applicant that wishes to voluntarily reallocate must notify the UC-CoC **in writing** no later than two (2) weeks prior to the due date of HUD's **Grant Inventory Worksheet (GIW)**. The GIW serves as the UC-CoC's tool for identifying each Project Applicant's intent to reapply for CoC Program funding and is used to confirm whether a project will continue, reduce, or voluntarily reallocate its award.

#### **❖ Preference for Voluntary Reallocation**

For the purpose of reallocating funds to create a new permanent housing project, strong preference will be given to currently funded projects that voluntarily request reallocation.

❖ **General Objectives of the Reallocation Policy**

This Reallocation Policy and Procedure incorporates the following general objectives:

- ❖ **Consistency with HUD Requirements** — To ensure that the UC-CoC submits an application to HUD that is consistent with HUD guidelines and the requirements of the HEARTH Act.
- ❖ **Sufficient Funding for Permanent Housing** — To ensure that any amount reallocated is adequate to support the creation and operation of effective permanent housing (PH) projects.
- ❖ **Positioning Transitional Housing Programs** — To best position existing transitional housing (TH) programs for continued HUD funding in an environment of evolving federal strategies and shifting system priorities.

**Review of Renewal Projects for Reallocation**

All renewal projects are reviewed by the Union County Department of Human Services (UCDHS) to determine whether a project should be considered for reallocation. UCDHS staff provide technical assistance throughout this process, including correcting technical inaccuracies when they arise and reminding funded agencies of their responsibilities when actions or requests fall outside their authority.

Through the reallocation process, the UC-CoC ensures that projects included in the CoC Collaborative Application align with HUD Continuum of Care funding priorities and contribute to a competitive submission that secures HUD resources to address and end homelessness in Union County. Decisions regarding reallocation are based on alignment with HUD guidelines, performance measures, and the presence of unspent project funds. Reallocated projects will be encouraged to seek alternative funders that support the contributions these programs make to the CoC.